Have You Something to Sell?

If so, you can get this space at a reasonable price to

$ $ $ $ $ $

Advertising always has and always will pay

TELL THE PEOPLE ABOUT IT

$ $ $ $ $ $

THE DAILY SIGNAL

Published Every Day Except Sunday by the SIGNAL PUBLISHING COMPANY, 149 Main St. Bell Phone 16

L. L. PURCHIL, Editor

Member Weiser Press Association, Member Idaho Press Association, Member Associated Press.

SUBSCRIPTION RATES:

1 year (in advance)	$5.00
6 months (in advance)	2.50
3 months (in advance)	1.25
1 month (in advance)	.50
1 year (by carrier)	6.00
1 year (by mail)	4.00

Entered as second-class matter January 1, 1912, at the post office at Weiser, Idaho, under the Act of March 3, 1879.

CONSENT TELLS THE TRUTH.

Prompted by inaccurate and misleading statements, or possibly both, one weekly contemporary has from time to time given expression to the grievance of some of the officers of the Commercial club because of the failure to attempt to frame him with the people of Weiser and Washington county. As he has not definitely let us know, nor given it could soon that he could prove the conclusion who invented the idea of interference in the matter, we have no alternative but to guess.

He intimated that he was willing to write about from both sides if he tried to make it appear that the second-hand favored this paper in the matter. Now, we have no quarrel with the Commercial club officers, nor with the Mayor Ayers had tried by repeated telephone bills to get in touch with a representative of that paper, and it was no fault of his or the city council that they did not succeed. The reference to the matter was a simple die at the council without any foundation for or claim.

In the last issue he accuses the editor of this page of making a speech in favor of Dalloway owning while the matter was under consideration by the council, and without being requested to speak. Now everyone who was present knows that statement to be false. Mayor Ayers, Councilmen Hale, Smith, Tolles and Fitzhugh will testify out in the statement that we favored the selection of all the paving bids and sold no favors for Dalloway. Every member present, in the council room knew we did not only a council, but as the record of Councilman Lloyd was asked if we had any questions to ask, did we ask the Dalloway man? It was not a fact that they were having their pavement in the one as low as $1.60 per yard, and if he found that, cricket and have contracted, they could by it here at $1.35 to $1.40. We were not in office to give the company of Weiser the benefit of the difference between these amounts and that of the bid, which was $1.65. The question was presented to the very reason there was a sentiment for giving the Dalloway man the contract and for the very reason we contributed to his bid to that. The position of the writer has been consistent from the very start of the paving agitation, and he has not meddled in the matter except where he thought he could render the interests of Weiser a service. We cannot help it if this notice does not call our connection. The same honesty, course was open to him, but if the other fellow's money carries more weight with him than the interests of his fellow businesses we can afford to have him look in our favor. To hold to the theory he does the present management in relation to the interest of the people of this city is not for sale, and no bribe will represent it in an effective manner. The only reason the Commercial club officers did not contract some improvement of the Dalloway contract the Signal has endorsed them in the matter of public improvements, and their admonition for individuals and the improvements which may endorse from the office of city commissioner or the special representative in the municipal legislative body. The reference to us for the seventh member of the council is a compliment we appreciate. To be credited with even in a small way, contributing to the splendid record of the present administration is a compliment we fully appreciate. Mary thanks.

It is a statement issued Sunday morning by Robert McCracken, attorney for Paul Chapman, he announces the abandonment of the plan to contest John M. Hubert relative to the Republican nomination for governor. This course was not anticipated as a second world unfortunately have added to the pots of Mr. Hubert and there was as much disbelief of the contest coming in John Lucas or of John T. Morrison, as in Steve of Mr. Chapman. To spend this amount of money it would have been a fortunate for